

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/088,594	03/21/2002	Masato Ikeda	00005.001198	6859
5514	7590 04/06/2004		EXAMINER	
	ICK CELLA HARPER	FRONDA, CHRISTIAN L		
30 ROCKEFELLER PLAZA NEW YORK, NY 10112			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	•		1652	
			DATE MAILED, 04/06/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/088,594	IKEDA ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Christian L Fronda	1652				
The MAILING DATE of this communication a	opears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address				
Period for Reply		Va) ====				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a re - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perio Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu- Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be to ply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) dad will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fror the cause the application to become ABANDON	mely filed ys will be considered timely. n the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12	January 2004.					
,						
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>4-8,10-15,17 and 18</u> is/are pending	in the application					
•	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>4-8,10,11,13 and 14</u> is/are allowed.						
6) Claim(s) 12,15,17 and 18 is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examir	ner					
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>21 March 2002</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
	un priority updor 35 H.S.C. & 110/s	a) (d) or (f)				
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:						
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the pri	•					
application from the International Bure	•	od in tino redional otago				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a lis	* **	ed.				
Association and a						
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Summar	, (PTO-413)				
2) Notice of Professional Professional Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail D	Date				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/06	<del>-</del> /	Patent Application (PTO-152)				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>1/12/2004</u> . 6) Other:						

Art Unit: 1652

### **DETAILED ACTION**

1. Claims 4-8, 10-15, 17, and 18 are under consideration in this Office Action.

## Claim Rejections - 35 U.S.C. § 112, 1st Paragraph

- 2. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

  The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.
- 3. Claims 12, 15, 17, and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, because the specification, while being enabling for an isolated polynucleotide encoding a polypeptide comprising the amino acid sequence of SEQ ID NO: 1 and having transaldolase activity or an isolated polynucleotide comprising SEQ ID NO: 2 and encoding a polypeptide having transaldolase activity and a transformant having said polynucleotides; does not reasonably provide enablement for any other embodiment.

Applicants' arguments filed 01/12/2004 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicants' position is that undue experimentation to make the claimed invention is not required since the specification provides a method of assaying for transaldolase activity which can be accomplished by a laboratory technician. The Examiner respectfully disagrees for reasons of record as supplemented below.

The nature and breadth of the claims encompass any polynucleotide that encodes any polypeptide comprising the amino acid sequence of SEQ ID NO:1 in which one or more amino acids have been substituted, deleted or added and having transaldolase activity; or any transformant having any nucleotide sequence having one or more nucleotides substituted, deleted, or inserted in the polynucleotide of any of claims 4-6, 17, and 18 and having lost its transaldolase enzymatic activity.

The specification provides guidance for screening and searching for the claimed invention which is not guidance for making the claimed invention. In order to make the claimed invention one of ordinary skill in the art must perform an enormous and undue amount of experimentation since the specification does not teach the specific structural/catalytic amino acids and the structural motifs essential for protein activity/function which cannot be altered. Such experimentation entails selecting specific nucleotides to change (deletion, insertion, substitution, or combinations thereof) in a polynucleotide to make the claimed polynucleotide

Art Unit: 1652

and determining by assays whether the transaldolase has activity as sought in claims 15 and 17 or has a lost of transaldolase activity as sought in claim 12. Furthermore, such experimentation is well outside the realm of routine experimentation and predictability in the art of success in determining whether the resulting polypeptide has activity is extremely low since no information is provided by the specification regarding the specific catalytic amino acids and the structural motifs essential for enzyme structure and activity/function which must be preserved.

Page 3

The Examiner finds that one skilled in the art would require additional guidance, such as information regarding the specific catalytic amino acids and the structural motifs essential for activity/function which must be preserved. Without such a guidance, the experimentation left to those skilled in the art is undue.

## Claim Rejections - 35 U.S.C. § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

- (a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.
- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 5. Claim 17 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b)as being anticipated by Miyamoto et al. (Accession AAR63573) [The reference was attached to the previous Office Action and is not attached to the instant Office Action.

Applicants' arguments filed 01/12/2004 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicants' position is that the recitation of "one up to a few" amino acids overcomes the stated rejection. The Examiner respectfully disagrees for the following reasons of record as supplemented below.

The scope of the claim includes the polynucleotide taught by Miyamoto et al. (Accession AAR63573) since the recitation of "one up to a few" amino acids without stating the specific **number** of amino acid residues that are modified in SEQ ID NO: 1 does not limit the number of amino acid residues that can be modified.

Thus, the reference teachings of Miyamoto et al. anticipate the claimed invention since Miyamoto et al. teach a polynucleotide sequence which encodes a polypeptide sequence which has transaldolase activity and has an amino acid of SEQ ID NO: 1 in which "one up to a few" amino acids have been substituted, deleted, or added.

Art Unit: 1652

### Conclusion

- 6. Claims 4-8, 10, 11, 13, and 14 are allowed.
- 7. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Christian L Fronda whose telephone number is (571)272-0929. The examiner can normally be reached Monday-Friday between 9:00AM - 5:00PM. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ponnathapura N Achutamurthy can be reached on (571)272-0928. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

**CLF** 

PONNATHAPUACHUTAMURTHY SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1600